

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/922,548	08/03/2001	Stanley J. Checketts	PSSAIS	9444	
75	90 08/18/2003				
Thompson E.	Fehr	<b>\$</b>	EXAMINER		
Suite 300 Goldenwest Co			NGUYEN, KIEN T		
5025 Adams Avenue Ogden, UT 84403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3712	<b>A</b>	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	$\mathcal{L}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/922,548		CHECKETTS, STANLEY J.				
		Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit	·			
		Kien T. Nguyen		3712				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF Status	COMMUNICATION.  the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136  of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply v  maximum statutory period will  priod for reply will, by statute, c  taree months after the mailing	(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min I apply and will expire s ause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this como	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on	_ •						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims					merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdraw	n from considera	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-13,15-18,20-28,30-33,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) <u>4,9,14,19,29 and</u>	34 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or	election require	ment.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected	•			_				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on								
Applicant may not request the		• • •	•	, , ,				
11) The proposed drawing corre				ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawi			ion.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	•							
_			1100004406	) (d) = (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
		hava baan maa	i					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the f 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary		Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/922,548

Art Unit: 3712

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 24, 26, 30, 31, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are two claims 24 in the present application, and they recite identical limitations. Claim 26 depends on claim 25 that is not existed in the present application. Claims 29 and 30 are confusing because they both depend on claim 27 and recite identical limitations. Claims 34 and 35 are also confusing because they both depend on claim 32 and recite identical limitations. Correction and/or clarification are required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moser et al U.S. Patent 5,941,777.

Moser et al disclosed a ride comprising at least one seat (17); an arm (21) attached to the seat; means (14) for rotating the arm and seat and having a point of rotation (12); a platform (16) and the arm being rotatably attached to the platform and the means for rotating (14) being connected to the platform; a lever arm (7b) that connects the arm to the means (14) so that the point of rotation of the means for rotating will be aligned with the center of gravity of a participant sitting on the seat;

Application/Control Number: 09/922,548

Art Unit: 3712

means (11) for directing the rotation and directing the seat and arm be returned to the pre-rotation of the arm and seat; sensors (39) for measuring a physical quantity such as angle of rotation (column 2, lines 23-24), and a logic unit (37) through which the means for measuring communicated with the means for rotating (see column 2, lines 20-24); and the arm and seat can rotates at least 90 degrees as shown in Fig. 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 8, 11, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moser et al.

Regarding claims 3, 8, and 23, it is noted that Moser et al. failed to teach the use of a timer in communication with the means for rotating. However, Moser et al disclosed the use of an electronic control unit (37) for controlling drive means (11) and it is well known in the art that such electronic control unit is typically contain a timer for controlling the duration of the rotation as well as the ride. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice if not inherent to provide a time to the electronic control unit (37) for the reason as set forth above.

Regarding claims 6, 11, it is note that Moser et al failed to specifically point out that the electronic unit (37) as being programmable. However, it is well known in the art that such electronic unit (37) is typically a computer or equivalence and needless to say.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,548

Art Unit: 3712

most if not all computers are programmable. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the electronic unit (37) with any equivalent programmable device.

Claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 27, 28, 32, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moser et al in view of Eyerly U.S. Patent 2,229,966.

Regarding claims 12, 17, 27, and 32, it is noted that Moser et al failed to teach the user of means for retaining a participant to the seat. However, such seat-retaining device is very well known in the art as evidenced by lap belt (see Fig. 3) of Eyerly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the seats of Moser et al with the seat-retaining device as taught by Eyerly for the purpose of providing safety for the user.

Regarding claims 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 28, and 33, please the above explanations for the respective subject matter.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9, 14, 19, 24, 29, and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kien T. Ngulyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn August 11, 2003